arXiv:1702.04190v1 [math.CA] 14 Feb 2017

ON APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES FOR NON-LINEAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS

SEVGI ESEN ALMALI

ABSTRACT. We investigate the problem of pointwise convergence of the family of non-linear integral operators

$$L_\lambda(f,x)=\int_a^b \sum_{m=1}^{N} f^m(t)K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt,$$

where λ is a real parameters, $K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)$ is non-negative kernel and f is the function in $L_1(a,b)$. We consider two cases where (a,b) is a finite interval and when is the whole real axis..

1.Introduction

In [6] the concept of singularity was extended to cover the case of nonlinear integral operators,

$$T_w f(s)=\int_G K_w(t-s,f(t))dt, \quad \mathrm{s}\in G$$

the assumption of linearity of the operators being replaced by an assumption of a Lipschitz condition for K_w with respect to the second variable. Recently, Swiderski and Wachnicki [7] investigated the pointwise convergence of the operators $T_w f$ in $L_p(-\pi,\pi)$ and $L_p(R)$ at the points, where x_0 is a point of continuity and a Lebesque point of f.

In [2] , Karsli studied both the pointwise convergence and the rate of pointwise convergence of above operators on a $\mu-generelized$ Lebesque point to $f\in L_1(a,b)$ as $(x,\lambda)\to(x_0,\lambda_0)$.In [1] , it is studied the rate of convergence at a point x, which has a discontinuity of the first kinds as $\lambda\to\lambda_0$.In [4] they obtained convergence results and rate of approximation for functions belonging to BV-spaces by mean of nonlinear convolution integral operators.

The aim of the article is to obtain pointwise convergence results for a family of non-linear operators of the form

$$L_\lambda(f,x)=\sum_{m=1}^{N}\int_a^b f^m(t)K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt \tag{1}$$

where $K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)$ is a family of kernels depending on λ.We study convergence of the family (1) at every Lebesque point of the function f in the spaces of $L_1(a,b)$ and $L_1(-\infty,\infty)$.

Now we give the following definition.

Key words and phrases. Pointwise convergence, non-linear integral operators, lebesque point.
The auhor wish to thank Prof. Dr. A. D. Gadjiev for the useful suggestions in the matter.

Definition 1. *(Class A): We take a family $(K_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of functions $K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)$: $RXR \to R$. We will say that the function $K_\lambda(x,t)$ belongs the class A, if the following conditions are satisfied.*

a) $K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)$ is a non-negative function defined for all t on (a,b) and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

b) As function of t, $K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)$ is non-decreasing on $[a,x]$ and non-increasing on $[x,b]$ for any fixed x

c)For any fixed x, $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\infty} \int\limits_a^b K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt = C_m$.

d) For every $m, 1 \le m \le N$ and every $y \neq x$, $\lim\limits_{\lambda\to\infty} K_{\lambda,m}(x,y) = 0$.

2. Main Result

We are going to prove the family of non-linear integral operators (1) with the positive kernel convergence to the functions $f \in L_1(a,b)$

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $f \in L_1(a,b)$ and f is bounded on (a,b). If non-negative the kernel $K_{\lambda,m}$ belongs to Class A, then, for the operator $L_\lambda(f,x)$ which is defined in (1)*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} L_\lambda(f,x_0) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} C_m f^m(x_0)$$

holds at every x_0-lebesque point of f function

Proof. For integral (1) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} L_\lambda(f,x_0) - \sum_{m=1}^{N} C_m f^m(x_0) &= \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_a^b f^m(t) - f^m(x_0) K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{N} f^m(x_0) \left[\int_a^b K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)dt - C_m \right] \end{aligned}$$

and in view of a)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| L_\lambda(f,x_0) - \sum_{m=1}^{N} C_m f^m(x_0) \right| &\le \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_a^b |f^m(t) - f^m(x_0)| K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{N} |f^m(x_0)| \left| \int_a^b K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)dt - C_m \right| \\ &= I_1(x_0,\lambda) + I_2(x_0,\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

It is suffcient to show that terms on right hand side of the last inequality tend to zero as $\lambda \to \infty$.By property c) ,it is clear that $I_2(x_0,\lambda)$ tends to zero as $\lambda \to \infty$.

Now we consider $I_1(x_0,\lambda)$. For any fixed $\delta > 0$, we can write $I_1(x_0,\lambda)$ as follow.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x_0,\lambda) &= \sum_{m=1}^{N}\left[\int_a^{x_0-\delta}+\int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0}+\int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta}+\int_{x_0+\delta}^{b}\right]|f^m(t)-f^m(x_0)|\,K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)dt \quad (2)\\ &= I_{11}(x_0,\lambda,m)+I_{12}(x_0,\lambda,m)+I_{13}(x_0,\lambda,m)+I_{14}(x_0,\lambda,m) \end{aligned}$$

Firstly we shall calculate $I_{11}(x_0,\lambda,m)$, that's

$$I_{11}(x_0,\lambda,m)=\sum_{m=1}^{N}\int_a^{x_0-\delta}|f^m(t)-f^m(x_0)|\,K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)dt.$$

By the condition b), we have

$$I_{11}(x_0,\lambda,m)\leq\sum_{m=1}^{N}K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,x_0-\delta)\left\{\int_a^{x_0-\delta}|f^m(t)|\,dt+x\int_a^{x_0-\delta}|f^m(x_0)|\,dt\right\}$$

and

$$\leq\sum_{m=1}^{N}K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,x_0-\delta)\left\{\|f^m\|_{L_1(a,b)}+|f^m(x_0)|\,(b-a)\right\} \quad (3)$$

In the same way, we can estimate $I_{14}(x_0,\lambda,m)$.From property b)

$$\begin{aligned} I_{14}(x_0,\lambda,m) &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{N}K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,x_0+\delta)\left\{\int_{x_0+\delta}^{b}|f^m(t)|\,dt+\int_{x_0+\delta}^{b}|f^m(x_0)|\,dt\right\}\\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{N}K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,x_0+\delta)\left\{\|f^m\|_{L_1(a,b)}+|f^m(x_0)|\,(b-a)\right\}. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Since x_0 is a lebesque point of f, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_0+h}|f(t)-f(x_0)|\,dt<\varepsilon h \quad (5)$$

and

$$\int_{x_0-h}^{x_0}|f(t)-f(x_0)|\,dt<\varepsilon h \quad (6)$$

for all $0<h\leq\delta$.Now let's define a new function as follows,

$$F(t)=\int_{x_0}^{t}|f(u)-f(x_0)|\,du.$$

Then from (5), for $t-x_0\leq\delta$ we have

$$F(t)\leq\varepsilon(t-x_0).$$

Also, since f is bounded, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$|f^m(t) - f^m(x_0)| \leq\leq |f(t) - f(x_0)|\, M$$

is satisfied. Therefore, we can estimate $I_{13}(x_0, \lambda, m)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{13}(x_0, \lambda, m) &\leq M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} |f(t) - f(x_0)|\, K_{\lambda,m}(x_0, t)dt \\ &\leq M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} K_{\lambda,m}(x_0, t)dF(t). \end{aligned}$$

We apply integration by part, then we obtain the following result.

$$|I_{13}(x_0, \lambda, m)| \leq M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left\{ F(x_0 + \delta, x_0)K_{\lambda,m}(x_0 + \delta, x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} F(t)d\left(-K_{\lambda,m}(x_0, t)\right) \right\}.$$

Since $K_{\lambda,m}$ is decreasing on $[x_0, b]$, it is clear that $-K_{\lambda,m}$ is increasing.Hence its differential is positive. Therefore, we can wirte

$$|I_{13}(x_0, \lambda, m)| \leq M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left\{ \varepsilon\delta K_{\lambda,m}(x_0 + \delta, x_0) + \varepsilon \int_{x}^{x+\delta} (t - x_0)d\left(-K_{\lambda,m}(x_0, t)\right) \right\}.$$

Integration by parts again we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{13}(x_0, \lambda, m)| &\leq \varepsilon M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} K_{\lambda,m}(x_0, t)dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{a}^{b} K_{\lambda,m}(x_0, t)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now, we can use similar method for evaluation $I_{12}(x_0, \lambda, m)$. Let

$$G(t) = \int_{t}^{x} |f(y) - f(x)|\, dy.$$

Then, the statement

$$dG(t) = -\,|f(t) - f(x_0)|\, dt.$$

is satisfied. For $x_0 - t \leq \delta$, by using (6),it can be written as follows

$$G(t) \leq \varepsilon\, |x_0 - t|$$

Hence, we get

$$I_{12}(x_0, \lambda, m) \leq M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} |f(t) - f(x_0)|\, K_{\lambda,m}(x_0, t)dt.$$

Then, we shall write

$$|I_{12}(x_0, \lambda, m)| \leq M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left[-\int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} K_{\lambda,m}(x_0, t)dG(t) \right].$$

By integration of parts, we have

$$|I_{12}(x,\lambda,m)| \leq M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left\{ G(x-\delta K_{\lambda,m}(x-\delta,x) + \int_{x-\delta}^{x} G(t) d_t(K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)) \right\}.$$

From (6), we obtain

$$|I_{12}(x_0,\lambda,m)| \leq M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left\{ \varepsilon\delta K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,x_0-\delta) + \varepsilon \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} (x_0-t) d_t(K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)) \right\}.$$

By using integration of parts again, we find

$$|I_{12}(x_0,\lambda,m)| \leq \varepsilon M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{a}^{b} K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)dt. \tag{8}$$

Combined (7) and (8), we get

$$|I_{12}(x_0,\lambda,m)| + |I_{13}(x_0,\lambda,m)| \leq 2\varepsilon M \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{a}^{b} K_{\lambda,m}(x_0,t)dt. \tag{9}$$

Finally,from (3), (4) and (9),the terms on right hand side of these inequalitys tend to 0 as $\lambda \to \infty$. That's

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} L_\lambda(f,x_0) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} C_m f^m(x_0).$$

Thus, the proof is completed. □

In this theorem, specially it may be $a = -\infty$ and $b = \infty$. In this case, we can give the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in L_1(-\infty,\infty)$ and f is bounded. If non-negative the kernel $K_{\lambda,m}$ belongs to Class A and satisfies also the following properties ,*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta} K_{\lambda,m}(t,x)dt = 0 \tag{10}$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \int_{x+\delta}^{\infty} K_{\lambda,m}(t,x)dt = 0, \tag{11}$$

then the statement

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} L_\lambda(f,x) = f(x).$$

is satisfied at almost every $x \in R$.

Proof. We can write

$$\begin{aligned}\left|L_\lambda(f,x)-\sum_{m=1}^{N}C_m f^m(x)\right| &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{N}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f^m(t)-f^m(x)|\,K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt \\ &\quad+\sum_{m=1}^{N}|f^m(x)|\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt-C_m\right| \\ &= A_1(x,\lambda)+A_2(x,\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $A_2(x,\lambda)\to 0$ as $\lambda\to\infty$.

For a fixed $\delta>0$, we divide the integral $A_1(x,\lambda)$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}A_1(x,\lambda) &= \sum_{m=1}^{N}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta}+\int_{x-\delta}^{x}+\int_{x}^{x+\delta}+\int_{x+\delta}^{\infty}\right]|f^m(t)-f^m(x)|\,K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt \\ &= A_{11}(x,\lambda,m)+A_{12}(x,\lambda,m)+A_{13}(x,\lambda,m)+A_{14}(x,\lambda,m).\end{aligned}$$

$A_{12}(x,\lambda,m)$ and $A_{13}(x,\lambda,m)$ integrals are calculated as above the proof.For proof, it is sufficent to show that $A_{11}(x,\lambda,m)$ and $A_{14}(x,\lambda,m)$ tend to zero as $\lambda\to\infty$.

Firstly, we consider $A_{11}(x,\lambda,m)$. Since f is bounded and by the property b),this integration is written the form

$$\begin{aligned}A_{11}(x,\lambda,m) &\leq M\sum_{m=1}^{N}\int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta}|f(t)-f(x)|\,K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt \\ &\leq M\sum_{m=1}^{N}K_{\lambda,m}(x,x-\delta)\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta}|f(t)|\right\}+M|f(x)|\sum_{m=1}^{N}\int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta}K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_1(-\infty,\infty)}M\sum_{m=1}^{N}K_{\lambda,m}(x,x-\delta)+M|f(x)|\sum_{m=1}^{N}\int_{-\infty}^{x-\delta}K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt.\end{aligned}$$

In addition to, we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}A_{14}(x,\lambda,m) &\leq M\sum_{m=1}^{N}\int_{x+\delta}^{\infty}|f(t)-f(x)|\,K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_1(-\infty,\infty)}M\sum_{m=1}^{N}mK_{\lambda,m}(x,x+\delta)+M|f(x)|\sum_{m=1}^{N}M\int_{x+\delta}^{\infty}K_{\lambda,m}(x,t)dt.\end{aligned}$$

According to the conditions d), (10) and (11),we find that $A_{11}(x,\lambda,m)+A_{14}(x,\lambda,m)\to 0$ as $\lambda\to\infty$.This completes the proof. □

References

[1] H. Karsli and V. Gupta, Rate of convegence of nonlinear integral operators for functions of bounded variation,CALCOLO, (2008), 45, 87-98.

[2] H. Karsli, Convergence and rate of convergence by nonlinear singular integral operators depending on two parameters, Appliable Analysis, (2010) Vol. 85, No:6-7,781-791.

[3] H. Karsli, On approximation properties of non-convolution type nonlinear integral operators, Anal. Theory Appl.(2010), 26 (2),140-152.

[4] L. Angeloni and G. Vinti, Convergence in variation and rate of approximation for nonlinear integral operators of convolution type,Results in Mathematics,49, (2006), 1-23
[5] M.A. Krasnosel'skii and Y.B. Rutickii, Convex Functions and Orlichz Spaces (1961),Trans.P.Noordhoff Ltd-Nederlands
[6] J. Muscielek,Approximation by nonlinear singular integral operators in generalized Orlicz spaces, Commentationus Mathematics, (1991), 31, 79-88.
[7] T. Swiderski and E. Wachnicki, Nonlinear singular integral depending on two parameters, Commentationes Mathematics,(2000), 11, 181-189
[8] C. Bardora,J. Musceilak and G. Vinti, Nonlinear integral operators and applications De Grayter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, (2003), 9, xii+201

This article is presented in " Young Scientist's International Baku Form, May, 2013.

Science and Art Faculty, Department of Mathematics, Kirikkale University, Kirikkale-Turkey
E-mail address: sevgi_esen@hotmail.com
URL: http://www.kku.edu.tr